

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/672,938	09/28/2000	Jeffery A. Livesay	4969.02	7604	
7590 09/08/2004			EXAMINER		
Brad J Hattenbach Esq			GORT, ELAINE L		
DORSEY & W 370 17TH STR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 4700 DENVER, CO 80202-5647			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·							
Office Action Summary		Application No		Applicant(s)	A			
		09/672,938	l I	LIVESAY, JEFFER	RY A.			
		Examiner	1	Art Unit				
		Elaine Gort		3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cove	r sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replement of the provision of	. 136(a). In no event, how only within the statutory middle will apply and will expire te, cause the application	rever, may a reply be timel nimum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from the	y filed will be considered timely e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r, Immunication.			
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 I	Mav 2004.						
	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-172 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-109 and 122-163 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 110-121 and 164-172 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-	• •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (P					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Pate Other:		-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/672,938 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

•

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 1-109 and 122-163 which are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 8. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 110-121 and 164-172 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear in claims 110, 116 and 164 what is being claimed in regard to "the at least one request comprising information derived from the at least one or more parameters, the information comprising at least one datum not among the at least one or more parameters". It is unclear how the information is derived from, but not among the parameters.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,938 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 110-121 and 164-172 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Primavera and PurchasePro.com (Business Wire, 9/21/99, p. 203).

Primavera and PurchasePro.com disclose the claimed computer readable medium containing instructions for facilitating the matching or buyers and sellers for goods/services specified for a project. Primavera and PurchasePro.com disclose a website with computer readable medium capable of defining/identifying a project with parameters (such as performance specifications); converting the parameters into a request for goods/services needed to complete the project (specifications/RFQs/RFPs

Application/Control Number: 09/672,938

Art Unit: 3627

are presented to sellers which include the buyers performance specifications); where the request is utilized by a buyer to communicate the parameters for the project to at least one seller providing the goods/services needed for the project (such as when the system connects buyers and sellers); the request is communicated to a seller; prepares a response by a seller based on the request for goods/services (such as preparing a contractor's bid for conveyance to the buyer); communicates a response to the buyer from a seller as an offer to provide the buyer with the goods/services requested (such as when system conveys bids to buyers); where the request identifies at least one goods/services specified for a project defined in terms of at least one parameter (such as buyer's request includes project specifications); and where acceptance of the response by the buyer, a contract for the goods/services specified in the response is formed between the buyer and the seller.

Page 4

Regarding the information being derived from the parameters but not among the parameters Examiner contends that data is generated regarding the order which the buyer has not specifically entered but are derived from the order. Examples would include information such as the time and date the order was placed, the derivation of suppliers that could meet the requirements of the order, information regarding the broker, information regarding financing and approval of financing.

Art Unit: 3627

6. Claims 110-121 and 164-172 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huberman (US Patent 5,826,244).

Huberman discloses the claimed computer readable medium containing instructions for facilitating the matching or buyers and sellers for goods/services specified for a project. Huberman discloses a website with computer readable medium capable of defining/identifying a project with parameters (for example specifications relating to the printing of an annual report); converting the parameters into a request for goods/services needed to complete the project (specifications are presented to sellers which include the buyers performance specifications); where the request is utilized by a buyer to communicate the parameters for the project to at least one seller providing the goods/services needed for the project (such as when the system connects buyers and sellers); the request is communicated to a seller; prepares a response by a seller based on the request for goods/services (such as when bids are prepared by sellers); communicates a response to the buyer from a seller as an offer to provide the buyer with the goods/services requested (such as when system conveys bid information to buyers); where the request identifies at least one goods/services specified for a project defined in terms of at least one parameter (such as buyer's request includes project specifications); and whereupon acceptance of the response by the buyer, a contract for the goods/services specified in the response is formed between the buyer and the seller (such as when the customer accepts the transaction).

Regarding the information being derived from the parameters but not among the parameters Examiner contends that data is generated regarding the order which the

Art Unit: 3627

buyer has not specifically entered but are derived from the order. Examples would

include information such as the time and date the order was placed, the derivation of

suppliers that could meet the requirements of the order, information regarding the

broker, information regarding financing and approval of financing.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 110-121 and 164-172 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See details above for

clarification.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is (703)308-6391. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or processing is assigned is (703)872-9327.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Elaine Gort Examiner

Art Unit 3627

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 7

September 5, 2004